arXiv:math/0403329v1 [math.GN] 20 Mar 2004

ON THE STRUCTURE OF FINITE-SHEETED COVERINGS OF COMPACT CONNECTED GROUPS

S. A. Grigorian and R. N. Gumerov

Abstract. Finite-sheeted covering mappings onto compact connected groups are studied. It is shown that a finite-sheeted covering mapping $p : X \to G$ from a connected Hausdorff topological space X onto a compact connected abelian group G must be a homeomorphism provided that the character group of G admits division by degree of p. Using this result, we obtain criteria of triviality for finite-sheeted covering mappings onto G in terms of its character group and means on G. In order to establish these facts we show that for a k-sheeted covering mapping p from X onto a compact connected (in general, non-abelian) group there exists a topological group structure on the space X such that p becomes a homomorphism of groups. In proving this result we construct an inverse system of k-sheeted covering mappings onto Lie groups which approximates the covering mapping p.

Introduction

This paper deals with finite-sheeted covering mappings onto compact connected groups. Particular attention is paid to covering mappings onto abelian groups.

The motivation for our work comes partly from the theory of polynomials and algebraic equations with functional coefficients. Initially we were interested in properties of separable polynomials over the Banach algebra $C(G)$ of all continuous complex-valued functions on a compact connected abelian group G. A polynomial $r(w) = w^k + f_1 w^{k-1} + f_2 w^{k-2} + \cdots + f_k$ of degree k in the variable w with coefficients $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ from $C(G)$ is said to be *separable* provided that for each element $g \in G$ the polynomial $w^k + f_1(g) w^{k-1} + f_2(g) w^{k-2} + \cdots + f_k(g)$ with complex coefficients has no multiple roots in the field of complex numbers. A separable polynomial $r(w)$ of degree k over the algebra $C(G)$ is said to be *totally reducible* if it may be factored into a product $(w-h_1)\cdot(w-h_2)\cdot\ldots\cdot(w-h_k)$ of polynomials of degree one, where $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k \in C(G)$. Totally reducible polynomials over function algebras and related problems concerning algebraic equations with functional coefficients have been studied by E. A. Gorin, V. Ya. Lin, Yu. V. Zyuzin and others (see, e.g., [1] – [3]). In particular, an immediate consequence of results obtained by E. A. Gorin and V. Ya. Lin (see [1; Corollaries 1.10 – 1.12]) is the following: if the character group $\widehat{G}$ is $k!$-divisible then each separable polynomial of degree k over the algebra $C(G)$ is totally reducible. One can easily see that the converse assertion is also true. It should be noted that the result formulated above

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M10, 54C10, 54H11, 22C05.

Key words and phrases. Character group, covering group theorem, finite-sheeted covering mapping, n-divisible group, limit morphism induced by morphism of inverse systems, trivial covering mapping.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

can be interpreted as a generalization of the Walter – Bohr – Flanders theorem concerning algebraic equations with almost periodic coefficients. (See comments in [1; Introduction and §1]).

As is well known, a separable polynomial $r(w) = w^k + f_1 w^{k-1} + f_2 w^{k-2} + \cdots + f_k$ over $C(G)$ generates the k-sheeted covering mapping $p : X \to G : (g, z) \mapsto g$, where the compact space X is defined as follows:

$$X := \{(g, z) \in G \times \mathbb{C} : z^k + f_1(g) z^{k-1} + \cdots + f_k(g) = 0\},$$

and the polynomial $r(w)$ is totally reducible if and only if the covering mapping p is trivial.

In the present paper it is shown that each k-sheeted covering mapping onto G is trivial provided that the character group $\widehat{G}$ is $k!$-divisible. Thus we have a criterion for triviality of all k-sheeted covering mappings onto a compact connected abelian group (Theorem 3).

In studying covering mappings from topological spaces onto topological groups it is natural to regard the problem on the existence of a topological group structure on a covering space relative to which a given covering mapping becomes a homomorphism of groups. It follows from classical properties of lifting mappings to covering spaces that if a covering space is connected and locally path connected, then this problem has a positive solution, i.e., the desired structure exists (see, e.g., [4; Theorem 79]). In that case we say that the structure of topological group lifts to a covering space, and results of that kind are called the covering group theorems. In this paper we prove the covering group theorem for a finite-sheeted covering mapping from a connected Hausdorff topological space onto a compact connected (in general, non-abelian) group (Theorem 1). Note that we do not suppose that the group is locally connected. In order to prove Theorem 1 we first construct a family of k-sheeted covering mappings onto Lie groups which approximates a given k-sheeted covering mapping (see §2, Proposition). Being an object of interest in its own right, Theorem 1 serves as a tool for studying the structure of covering mappings onto topological groups (see §4).

There are a number of papers treating of covering spaces in which the hypothesis of local connectedness is dropped (see, e.g., references in [5; Chapter V]). In 1972, R. Fox, using ideas and methods of shape theory, extended results of the classical covering space theory to overlay mappings of arbitrary connected metric spaces [6], [7]. Note that each overlay mapping is a covering mapping, and the converse implication holds in some important cases. Namely, it holds if a base space of a covering mapping is locally connected or a covering mapping is finite-sheeted [7; Theorem 3], [8; Propositions 2.1, 2.2]. In [9], [10] and [11] Fox's results are generalized to connected topological spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. It is divided into 4 sections. Section 1 contains preliminaries. In Section 2 the account of the approximate construction is given. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the covering group theorem. In the last section we apply this theorem to the study of finite-sheeted covering mappings onto compact connected abelian groups and to the problem on the existence of means on topological abelian groups.

The authors are very grateful to Professor S. A. Bogatyi for useful discussions about the theorems in this paper and for advices and remarks which improved the first version of the presentation of the results. We would like to thank Professors

A. Ya. Helemskii, A. S. Mishchenko and the members of the Alexandrov seminar and the seminars " Algebras in Analysis " and " Topology and Analysis " at Moscow State University for attention to our work and for useful discussions. We are very grateful to Professor E. A. Gorin for valuable comments.

The results of the present paper were announced in [12] and [13].

1. Preliminaries

In this section we establish some notation and recall some definitions and facts that will be needed later on.

Throughout this paper all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. A *neighborhood* is always an open subset of a topological space. A *mapping* between topological spaces and a *homomorphism* between topological groups mean a continuous function and a continuous homomorphism, respectively.

As usual, we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of all positive integers, by $\mathbb{C}$ the space of all complex numbers (equipped with the natural topology), and by $\mathbb{C}^m$ the Cartesian product of m copies of $\mathbb{C}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is denoted by $\overline{m}$.

Let $X, Y_1, Y_2, \dots Y_n$ be topological spaces. The *diagonal of mappings* $f_j : X \to Y_j$, where $j \in \overline{n}$, is defined as follows:

$$f_1 \triangle f_2 \triangle \dots \triangle f_n : X \to Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \dots \times Y_n : x \mapsto (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x)),$$

where $x \in X$ and $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \dots \times Y_n$ is the Cartesian product of spaces $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$.

We shall now recall the notions of inverse system and inverse limit in categories of topological spaces and of topological groups. For more complete treatments of these notions consult, e.g., [14; Chapter VIII, §3], [15; §2.5] and [16; Chapter 3]. We denote by $\mathcal{COMP}$ the category of compact spaces and mappings, and by $\mathcal{CGR}$ the category of compact groups and homomorphisms. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be one of these categories and let $(\Lambda, \prec)$ be a directed set. Suppose we are given an object X_λ from $\mathcal{C}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and a morphism $\pi^\mu_\lambda : X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ from $\mathcal{C}$ for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ satisfying $\lambda \prec \mu$. Moreover, let $\pi^\lambda_\lambda : X_\lambda \to X_\lambda$ be the identity morphism for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\pi^\nu_\lambda = \pi^\mu_\lambda \circ \pi^\nu_\mu$ for all $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda \prec \mu \prec \nu$. The collection $\{X_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$ is called an *inverse system* in the category $\mathcal{C}$ over the *index set* Λ. The morphisms π^μ_λ are called the *bonding morphisms* of $\{X_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$. An *inverse limit* $(X, \{\pi_\lambda\})$ of the inverse system $\{X_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$ consists of an object X from $\mathcal{C}$ and a family $\{\pi_\lambda : X \to X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of morphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ having the following *universal property*:

1) $\pi_\lambda = \pi^\mu_\lambda \circ \pi_\mu$ whenever $\lambda \prec \mu$;

2) if $\tau_\lambda : Y \to X_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, are morphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\tau_\lambda = \pi^\mu_\lambda \circ \tau_\mu$ whenever $\lambda \prec \mu$, then there exists a unique morphism $\rho : Y \to X$ from $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $\tau_\lambda = \pi_\lambda \circ \rho$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

The inverse limit $(X, \{\pi_\lambda\})$ of the inverse system $\{X_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$ is denoted by $(X, \{\pi_\lambda\}) = \varprojlim\{X_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$. One often calls X itself an inverse system. The morphisms π_λ are called the *projections*. In the category $\mathcal{C}$ an inverse limit $\varprojlim\{X_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$ exists. Namely, the object X_∞ from $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of all threads of the inverse system $\{X_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$ and the family of the canonical projections of X_∞ to X_λ constitute the inverse limit. If there are two inverse limits of the same inverse system in some category, then they are isomorphic: the morphisms from the universal properties for these inverse limits are isomorphisms [16; Corollary 3.2].

A *morphism of inverse systems* $\{X_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$ and $\{Y_\lambda, \sigma^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a family $\{\tau_\lambda : X_\lambda \to Y_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of morphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\tau_\lambda \circ \pi^\mu_\lambda = \sigma^\mu_\lambda \circ \tau_\mu$ whenever $\lambda \prec \mu$. It induces a morphism $\tau_\infty : X_\infty \to Y_\infty$ in $\mathcal{C}$ given by $\tau_\infty(\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}) = \{\tau_\lambda(x_\lambda)\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, where $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \in X_\infty$. The morphism τ_∞ is called the *limit morphism induced by* $\{\tau_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. We say that a morphism $\tau : X \to Y$ from $\mathcal{C}$ is *up to isomorphism* the limit morphism induced by the morphism of inverse systems $\{\tau_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ provided that there are isomorphisms $\rho : X \to X_\infty$ and $\sigma : Y \to Y_\infty$ from $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\tau_\infty \circ \rho = \sigma \circ \tau$.

Recall that a surjective mapping $p : X \to Y$ between topological spaces X and Y is called a *finite-sheeted covering mapping* if it is a k-*sheeted* (k-*fold*) *covering mapping* for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, for every point $y \in Y$, there exists a neighborhood W in Y and a partition of the inverse image $p^{-1}(W)$ into neighborhoods $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$ in X such that, for each $n \in \overline{k}$, the restriction of p to V_n is a homeomorphism of V_n onto W. The integer k is called a *degree* of the mapping p. The neighborhood W is said to be *evenly covered* by p, and the collection $\{V_n : n \in \overline{k}\}$ is called a partition of $p^{-1}(W)$ into *slices.* (As usual, a partition of an arbitrary set A is a collection of disjoint subsets of A whose union is all of A.)

A k-sheeted covering mapping $p : X \to Y$ is said to be *trivial* if there exists a homeomorphism ρ from the Cartesian product $Y \times \overline{k}$, where $\overline{k}$ is equipped with the discrete topology, to X such that $p \circ \rho(y, n) = y$ for any $(y, n) \in Y \times \overline{k}$.

Suppose we are given a k-fold covering mapping $p : X \to Y$ from a non-connected space X onto a connected space Y, where $k \geq 2$. It is a well-known fact that for any component X_0 of the space X the restriction $p|_{X_0} : X_0 \to Y$ of the mapping p to the subspace X_0 is a finite-sheeted covering mapping whose degree is less than k.

Throughout the paper G stands for a compact connected group, and $p : X \to G$ is a k-fold covering mapping, where X is a topological space. Note that the space X is necessarily compact.

Let us consider an inverse system $\{ G_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda \}$ in $\mathcal{CGR}$ such that $(G, \{\pi_\lambda\}) = \varprojlim\{G_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda\}$, where all G_λ are connected Lie groups, and all bonding homomorphisms and projections are open and surjective. Moreover, if G is abelian, then each G_λ is assumed to be abelian as well (see [17; §25]).

A family of all sets $\pi^{-1}_\lambda(U)$, where U is a neighborhood in G_λ and λ runs over a subset cofinal in Λ, is a basis for a topology on G [15; Proposition 2.5.5]. For $\nu \in \Lambda$ we denote by Λ_ν the set $\{ \lambda \in \Lambda : \nu \prec \lambda \}$. Then $(G, \{\pi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\nu}) = \varprojlim \{ G_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda_\nu \}$ [14; Chapter VIII, §3].

Using compactness of the group G and the above-mentioned description of a basis for the topology on G, one can easily see that there is a finite open cover $\{ W_n : n \in \overline{m} \}$ of G (i.e., a finite family of neighborhoods in G whose union is the whole group G) satisfying the following conditions. Every neighborhood W_n is an evenly covered by the covering mapping p, and there exists an index $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and a finite open cover $\{ W^\alpha_n : n \in \overline{m} \}$ of G_α such that $W_n = \pi^{-1}_\alpha(W^\alpha_n)$ for each $n \in \overline{m}$. From now on we fix $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and the indexed family $W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_m$. In addition, for each set $p^{-1}(W_n)$ we fix its indexed partition into slices $V^n_1, V^n_2, \ldots, V^n_k$. Thus for each $n \in \overline{m}$ we have the disjoint union

$$p^{-1}(W_n) = \bigsqcup_{l=1}^{k} V^n_l \tag{1}$$

such that for each $l \in \overline{k}$ the neighborhood V_l^n is mapped homeomorphically onto the neighborhood W_n under p.

2. Approximation of covering mapping

In the present section we shall construct a family of k-sheeted covering mappings onto G_λ's which approximates the covering mapping $p : X \to G$. Roughly speaking, it turns out that in an appropriate category of arrows the covering mapping $p : X \to G$ is an inverse limit of an inverse system consisting of k-sheeted covering mappings onto G_λ's.

Throughout this section we "forget" about group structures of objects, so that G, G_λ and $\pi_\lambda^\mu, \pi_\lambda$ are considered as objects and morphisms of the category $\mathcal{COMP}$, i.e., as only compact spaces and mappings respectively. (In other words, we apply the forgetful functor from $\mathcal{CGR}$ to $\mathcal{COMP}$).

We need first to define functions and neighborhoods which together with those we have introduced in the preceding section will play the crucial role in our construction.

It is clear that one can "shrink" the cover $\{W_n : n \in \overline{m}\}$ to a finite open cover $\{U_n : n \in \overline{m}\}$ of the space G such that

$$U_n = \pi_\alpha^{-1}(U_n^\alpha) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{U}_n \subset W_n \qquad \text{for each} \qquad n \in \overline{m}, \tag{2}$$

where $\{U_n^\alpha : n \in \overline{m}\}$ is a finite open cover of the space G_α which refines the cover $\{W_n^\alpha : n \in \overline{m}\}$ and satisfies the condition $U_n^\alpha \subset W_n^\alpha$ for each n.

Let $\{\phi_n : n \in \overline{m}\}$ be a partition of unity on the space G_α dominated by the cover $\{U_n^\alpha : n \in \overline{m}\}$, that is, an indexed family of mappings

$$\phi_n : G_\alpha \to [0,1] \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \overline{m}$$

having the following properties:

— the support of ϕ_n, i.e., the closure of the set $\phi_n^{-1}((0,1])$, is contained in the neighborhood U_n^α for each n;

— the equality $\sum_{n=1}^m \phi_n(g) = 1$ holds for each $g \in G_\alpha$.

For every $n \in \overline{m}$ we consider the compositions of mappings defined as follows:

$$\psi_n := \phi_n \circ \pi_\alpha : G \to [0,1] \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\psi}_n := \phi_n \circ \pi_\alpha \circ p : X \to [0,1].$$

It is straightforward to check that the families of mappings $\{\psi_n : n \in \overline{m}\}$ and $\{\widehat{\psi}_n : n \in \overline{m}\}$ are the partitions of unity on G and on X dominated by the covers $\{U_n : n \in \overline{m}\}$ and $\{p^{-1}(U_n) : n \in \overline{m}\}$, respectively.

We next define functions $f_n : X \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\widehat{f}_n : X \to \mathbb{C}$ for each $n \in \overline{m}$ by setting

$$f_n(x) := \begin{cases} 0, \quad \text{if} \quad x \notin p^{-1}(W_n) = \bigsqcup_{l=1}^{k} V_l^n; \\ \exp(i\frac{2\pi}{k}(l-1)), \quad \text{if} \quad x \in V_l^n, \quad l \in \overline{k}; \qquad (i^2 = -1); \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

$$\widehat{f}_n(x) := \widehat{\psi}_n(x) f_n(x) \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in X.$$

In view of (2), the functions $\widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2, \ldots, \widehat{f}_m$ are continuous at each point of X.

We claim that the diagonal of mappings

$$p\triangle\widehat{f}_1\triangle\widehat{f}_2\triangle\cdots\triangle\widehat{f}_m : X \to G \times \mathbb{C}^m$$

is a homeomorphic embedding, i.e., the space X is mapped homeomorphically onto the image space $p\triangle\widehat{f}_1\triangle\widehat{f}_2\triangle\cdots\triangle\widehat{f}_m(X) \subset G\times\mathbb{C}^m$ under the diagonal of the mappings $p, \widehat{f}_1, \ldots, \widehat{f}_m$. To prove this it suffices to show that $p\triangle\widehat{f}_1\triangle\widehat{f}_2\triangle\cdots\triangle\widehat{f}_m$ is injective (because it is continuous and the space X is compact), or, equivalently, that the family of mappings $\{p, \widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2, \ldots, \widehat{f}_m\}$ separates points. For this purpose we take two distinct points $x, y \in X$. If $p(x) = p(y)$, then $\widehat{\psi}_n(x) = \widehat{\psi}_n(y)$ for each $n \in \overline{m}$. Since the functions $\widehat{\psi}_n$ form a partition of unity on X, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \widehat{\psi}_n(x) = 1,$$

which implies $\widehat{\psi}_n(x) > 0$ for some n. Hence the points x and y belong to the set $p^{-1}(U_n) \subset p^{-1}(W_n)$. But these points lie in different slices of $p^{-1}(W_n)$, so that $f_n(x) \neq f_n(y)$. Therefore, we have $\widehat{f}_n(x) \neq \widehat{f}_n(y)$ as well. Thus the diagonal of mappings $p\triangle\widehat{f}_1\triangle\widehat{f}_2\triangle\cdots\triangle\widehat{f}_m$ is an injection. Consequently, it is a homeomorphic embedding, as claimed.

Now for each index $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha$ let us define a compact space X_λ by letting

$$X_\lambda := \left\{ \left(\pi_\lambda(p(x)), \widehat{f}_1(x), \widehat{f}_2(x), \cdots, \widehat{f}_m(x)\right) : x \in X \right\} \subset G_\lambda \times \mathbb{C}^m,$$

i.e., X_λ is the image of the space X under the following diagonal of mappings

$$(\pi_\lambda \circ p)\triangle\widehat{f}_1\triangle\widehat{f}_2\triangle\cdots\triangle\widehat{f}_m : X \to G_\lambda \times \mathbb{C}^m.$$

We denote by $h_\lambda : X \to X_\lambda$ the surjective mapping given by

$$h_\lambda(x) = (\pi_\lambda \circ p)\triangle\widehat{f}_1\triangle\widehat{f}_2\triangle\cdots\triangle\widehat{f}_m(x) \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in X,$$

and by p_λ the projection of X_λ onto the first coordinate, that is,

$$p_\lambda : X_\lambda \to G_\lambda : (\pi_\lambda(p(x)), \widehat{f}_1(x), \widehat{f}_2(x), \cdots, \widehat{f}_m(x)) \mapsto \pi_\lambda(p(x)), \quad x \in X.$$

Obviously, for each index $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha$, the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "h_\lambda"'] & G \arrow[d, "\pi_\lambda"] \\ X_\lambda \arrow[r, "p_\lambda"] & G_\lambda \end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

is commutative, i.e., $\pi_\lambda \circ p = p_\lambda \circ h_\lambda$. In particular, as an immediate consequence of this fact, the composition $p_\lambda \circ h_\lambda$ and the mapping p_λ are open.

Let us fix an index $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha$. For an arbitrary $g \in G_\lambda$, commutativity of the diagram (4) yields the equality

$$h_\lambda^{-1}(p_\lambda^{-1}(g)) = (\pi_\lambda \circ p)^{-1}(g),$$

which implies

$$p_\lambda^{-1}(g) = \{(g, \widehat{f}_1(x), \widehat{f}_2(x), \dots, \widehat{f}_m(x)) : x \in (\pi_\lambda \circ p)^{-1}(g)\}. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore, for each $n \in \overline{m}$, we have:

$$\widehat{\psi}_n(x) = \phi_n \circ \pi_\alpha^\lambda(g) \quad \text{whenever} \quad x \in (\pi_\lambda \circ p)^{-1}(g).$$

In other words, the mapping $\widehat{\psi}_k(x)$ is constant on the fiber $(\pi_\lambda \circ p)^{-1}(g)$. Therefore we can rewrite (5) as follows:

$$p_\lambda^{-1}(g) = \{(g, \phi_1(\pi_\alpha^\lambda(g))f_1(x), \dots , \phi_m(\pi_\alpha^\lambda(g))f_m(x)) : x \in (\pi_\lambda \circ p)^{-1}(g)\}. \tag{6}$$

But, for each $n \in \overline{m}$, the cardinal number of the set $\{f_n(x) : x \in (\pi_\lambda \circ p)^{-1}(g)\}$ is finite. Namely, it is at most $k+1$ (see(3)). Using this fact and the equality (6), we conclude that the set $p_\lambda^{-1}(g)$ is finite. Generally speaking, the cardinal number of the fiber $p_\lambda^{-1}(g)$ depends on g. So we can not assert that the mapping p_λ is a finite-sheeted covering.

Nevertheless, it turns out that the following lemma is valid.

Lemma. *There exists an index $\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha$ such that the mapping $p_\lambda : X_\lambda \to G_\lambda$ is a $k-fold$ covering mapping for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$.*

Proof. The proof consists of three steps. The first step is to construct a finite open cover $\{ O_s : s \in \overline{t} \}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, of the space G which refines the cover $\{ W_n : n \in \overline{m} \}$ and satisfies some additional requirements. We choose $\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha$ during this construction. Then we use the cover $\{ O_s : s \in \overline{t} \}$ to show that the mappings $p_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$, are k-fold covering mappings.

Step 1. We prove the following: *There exists a finite open cover $\{ O_s : s \in \overline{t} \}$ of the space G which has the following properties:*

$O1$) For each $s \in \overline{t}$ we have $O_s = \pi_\beta^{-1}(O_s^\beta)$, where $\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha$, and the family $\{ O_s^\beta : s \in \overline{t} \}$ is an open cover of the space G_β;

$O2$) For each $s \in \overline{t}$ there exists a partition of the set of positive integers $\overline{m}$:

$$\overline{m} = \{ a_1, a_2, \dots , a_r \} \sqcup \{ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-r} \}, \tag{7}$$

such that

$$O_s \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{r} W_{a_j} \quad \text{and} \quad O_s \bigcap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{m-r} \overline{U}_{b_j}) = \emptyset.$$

Furthermore, all mappings $\widehat{\psi}_{b_j}$ vanish on the set $p^{-1}(O_s)$, i.e., $\widehat{\psi}_{b_j}(x) = 0$ whenever $x \in p^{-1}(O_s)$ and $j \in \overline{m-r}$, and the image sets $f_{a_1} \triangle f_{a_2} \triangle \cdots \triangle f_{a_r}(p^{-1}(g))$ consist of the same k elements while g runs over O_s.

(To simplify the notation, we make use of the symbols a_j, b_j and r instead of a_{sj}, b_{sj} and r_s respectively. Of course, if $r = m$, then the set $\{ b_j : j \in \overline{m-r} \}$ is assumed to be the empty set $\emptyset$).

Taking an arbitrary element $g \in G$, we consider the partition

$$\{ W_n : n \in \overline{m} \} = \{ W_{a_j} : j \in \overline{r} \} \bigsqcup \{ W_{b_j} : j \in \overline{m-r} \},$$

which is uniquely determined by the following requirements:

$$g \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{r} W_{a_j} \qquad \text{and} \qquad g \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{m-r} W_{b_j}.$$

In other words, we have the partition (7) of the set of positive integers $\overline{m}$.

It follows immediately from (2) that

$$g \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{m-r} \overline{U}_{b_j}. \tag{8}$$

Let x be an element of the set $p^{-1}(g)$. For each integer a_j from (7), there is a unique slice in the partition (1) of $p^{-1}(W_{a_j})$ into slices which contains x. A subscript of that slice is denoted by l_j. Thus the condition $x \in V_{l_j}^{a_j}$ is fulfilled.

We next define a neighborhood $O(x)$ of the point x by setting

$$O(x) := \bigcap_{j=1}^{r} V_{l_j}^{a_j}.$$

In view of (3), for each $j \in \overline{r}$, we have:

$$f_{a_j}(y) = \exp(i\frac{2\pi}{k}(l_j - 1)) \quad \text{whenever} \quad y \in O(x).$$

In a similar way, assuming that $p^{-1}(g) = \{\, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \,\}$, we define disjoint neighborhoods $O(x_1), O(x_2), \ldots, O(x_k)$ of the points $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k$ respectively. It is clear that for each $l \in \overline{k}$ the restriction of the diagonal of mappings $f_{a_1} \triangle f_{a_2} \triangle \cdots \triangle f_{a_r}$ to the neighborhood $O(x_l)$ is constant.

Using (8), we choose a neighborhood $O(g)$ of the point g such that

$$O(g) \subset \bigcap_{l=1}^{k} p(O(x_l)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad O(g) \bigcap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{m-r} \overline{U}_{b_j}) = \emptyset.$$

Obviously, we may assume without loss of generality that $O(g) = \pi_\lambda^{-1}(U)$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha$, and U is a nonempty neighborhood in G_λ.

One can easily see that all functions $\widehat{\psi}_{b_1}, \widehat{\psi}_{b_2}, \ldots, \widehat{\psi}_{b_{m-r}}$ vanish on the set $p^{-1}(O(g))$ (provided that the set $\{\, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m-r} \,\}$ is nonempty), and the image set $f_{a_1} \triangle f_{a_2} \triangle \cdots \triangle f_{a_r}(p^{-1}(O(g)))$ consists of k points. Moreover, for any $g' \in O(g)$ with $p^{-1}(g') = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k\}$ the following equality holds:

$$f_{a_1} \triangle f_{a_2} \triangle \cdots \triangle f_{a_r}(p^{-1}(O(g))) = \{\, f_{a_1} \triangle f_{a_2} \triangle \cdots \triangle f_{a_r}(y_l) : l \in \overline{k} \,\}.$$

Since the space G is compact, there exists a finite open cover

$$\{\, O(g_s) = \pi_{\lambda_s}^{-1}(U_s^{\lambda_s}) : g_s \in G, U_s^{\lambda_s} \quad \text{is a neighborhood in} \quad G_{\lambda_s}, \lambda_s \in \Lambda_\alpha, s \in \overline{t} \,\}$$

of G satisfying the condition $O2$). The neighborhood $O(g_s)$ is denoted by O_s.

Now we choose an index $\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha$ such that $\lambda_s \prec \beta$ for all $s \in \overline{t}$. It is clear that

$$O_s = \pi_\beta^{-1}(O_s^\beta), \quad \text{where} \quad O_s^\beta = (\pi_{\lambda_s}^\beta)^{-1}(U_s^{\lambda_s}), \quad s \in \overline{t}.$$

Since the mapping π_β is surjective, the family $\{\, O_s^\beta : s \in \overline{t} \,\}$ is an open cover of G_β. Thus the cover $\{\, O_s : s \in \overline{t} \,\}$ also has the property $O1$), as desired.

Step 2. Now we show that the open mapping $p_\beta : X_\beta \to G_\beta$ is a k-fold covering mapping. Clearly, to prove this fact it is enough to show that the mapping p_β is k-to-1, i.e., the fiber $p_\beta^{-1}(g)$ consists of k points for each $g \in G_\beta$.

Choose any $g \in G_\beta$. For the set $p_\beta^{-1}(g)$ we have the equalities (5) and (6) (with $\lambda = \beta$).

Since the family $\{\, O_s^\beta : s \in \overline{t} \,\}$ constructed in Step 1 is a cover of the space G_β, there is an index s such that $\pi_\beta^{-1}(g) \subset O_s$. We fix such s and consider the partition (7) corresponding to this index.

If the set $\{\, b_j : j \in n_{m-r} \,\}$ is nonempty, then (see the property $O2$) in Step 1) for each b_j we have:

$$\widehat{f}_{b_j}(x) = \widehat{\psi}_{b_j}(x) f_{b_j}(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad x \in (\pi_\beta \circ p)^{-1}(g).$$

Hence the sets

$$p_\beta^{-1}(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \{\, \widehat{f}_{a_1} \triangle \widehat{f}_{a_2} \triangle \cdots \triangle \widehat{f}_{a_r}(x) : x \in (\pi_\beta \circ p)^{-1}(g) \,\}$$

have the same cardinality.

Now we fix a point $x_0 \in (\pi_\beta \circ p)^{-1}(g)$. As was noted, for each integer a_j from the partition (7) corresponding to s, we have:

$$\widehat{\psi}_{a_j}(x) = \widehat{\psi}_{a_j}(x_0) \quad \text{whenever} \quad x \in (\pi_\beta \circ p)^{-1}(g).$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that the set

$$\{\, (\widehat{\psi}_{a_1}(x_0) f_{a_1}(x), \widehat{\psi}_{a_2}(x_0) f_{a_2}(x), \ldots, \widehat{\psi}_{a_r}(x_0) f_{a_r}(x)) : x \in (\pi_\beta \circ p)^{-1}(g) \,\} \tag{9}$$

consists of k points.

The family $\{\, \widehat{\psi}_n : n \in \overline{m} \,\}$ is the partition of unity on the space X, and the mappings $\widehat{\psi}_{b_1}, \widehat{\psi}_{b_2}, \ldots, \widehat{\psi}_{b_{m-r}}$ vanish on the set $(\pi_\beta \circ p)^{-1}(g)$, whence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \widehat{\psi}_{a_j}(x_0) = 1,$$

which implies the inequality $\widehat{\psi}_{a_j}(x_0) > 0$ for at least one a_j.

Using the last observation together with the property $O2$) from Step 1 and the fact that each function f_{a_j} separates points of every fiber $p^{-1}(g')$, where $g' \in \pi_\beta^{-1}(g)$, one can easily see that the set (9) consists of k points. Thus the same is valid for the set $p_\beta^{-1}(g)$.

Step 3. To show that all mappings $p_\lambda : X_\lambda \to G_\lambda$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$, are k-fold covering mappings we first fix an index $\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$.

Then we define the family $\{\, O_s^\lambda : s \in \overline{t} \,\}$ of neighborhoods by letting

$$O_s^\lambda := (\pi_\beta^\lambda)^{-1}(O_s^\beta),$$

where $\{\, O_s^\beta : s \in \overline{t} \,\}$ is the open cover of the space G_β from $O1$). Obviously, the family $\{\, O_s^\lambda : s \in \overline{t} \,\}$ is an open cover of the space G_λ. In addition, the equality $\pi_\beta = \pi_\beta^\lambda \circ \pi_\lambda$ implies $O_s = \pi_\lambda^{-1}(O_s^\lambda)$ for each $s \in \overline{t}$.

Applying Step 2 to the mapping $p_\lambda : X_\lambda \to G_\lambda$ one obtains the desired conclusion. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Now for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\beta$ satisfying $\lambda \prec \mu$, we define the mapping $h_\lambda^\mu : X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ by the rule

$$h_\lambda^\mu(h_\mu(x)) = h_\lambda(x), \quad \text{for} \quad x \in X.$$

Clearly, this mapping is well-defined.

One checks at once that the collection $\{\, X_\lambda, h_\lambda^\mu, \Lambda_\beta \,\}$ is an inverse system in the category $\mathcal{COMP}$, and $\{\, p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta \,\}$ is a morphism of the inverse systems $\{\, X_\lambda, h_\lambda^\mu, \Lambda_\beta \,\}$ and $\{\, G_\lambda, \pi_\lambda^\mu, \Lambda_\beta \,\}$. Thus each diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_\lambda \arrow[d, "p_\lambda"'] & X_\mu \arrow[l, "h_\lambda^\mu"'] \arrow[d, "p_\mu"] \\ G_\lambda & G_\mu, \arrow[l, "\pi_\lambda^\mu"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{10}$$

is commutative.

Let us consider the limit morphism $p_\infty : X_\infty \to G_\infty$ induced by $\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta\}$. Denote by $\sigma : G \to G_\infty$ the homeomorphism from the universal property for G_∞ and by $\rho : X \to X_\infty$ the mapping from the universal property for X_∞. It is clear that $\sigma(g) = \{\pi_\lambda(g)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta}$, where $g \in G$, and $\rho(x) = \{h_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta}$, where $x \in X$.

Commutativity of the diagram (4) yields commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & X_\infty \arrow[d, "p_\infty"] \\ G \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & G_\infty \end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that ρ is a homeomorphism. For the sake of completeness, we show this below.

Let $x, y \in X$ and $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$. Then $\widehat{f}_j(x) = \widehat{f}_j(y)$ for each $j \in \overline{m}$ and $\pi_\lambda(p(x)) = \pi_\lambda(p(y))$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$. Since the family of projections $\{\pi_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta\}$ separates points of the space G, we obtain the equality $p(x) = p(y)$. Therefore

$$p \triangle \widehat{f}_1 \triangle \widehat{f}_2 \triangle \ldots \triangle \widehat{f}_m(x) = p \triangle \widehat{f}_1 \triangle \widehat{f}_2 \triangle \ldots \triangle \widehat{f}_m(y).$$

But the diagonal $p \triangle \widehat{f}_1 \triangle \widehat{f}_2 \triangle \ldots \triangle \widehat{f}_m$ is injective, so that $x = y$ and ρ is injective as well.

Now we prove that $\rho : X \to X_\infty$ is surjective. For fixed $x = \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta} \in X_\infty$, where $x_\lambda \in X_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$, we show that $x = \rho(y)$ for some point $y \in p^{-1}(g)$, where g is the unique point of the space G such that $\sigma(g) = p_\infty(x)$.

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$ we choose a point $z_\lambda \in X$ such that $x_\lambda = h_\lambda(z_\lambda)$. We have the equality $h_\beta(z_\beta) = h^\lambda_\beta(h_\lambda(z_\lambda))$ which implies that

$$h_\lambda(z_\lambda) = (\pi_\lambda(p(z_\lambda)), \widehat{f}_1(z_\beta), \widehat{f}_2(z_\beta), \dots, \widehat{f}_m(z_\beta)). \tag{12}$$

Thus the last m coordinates of all $h_\lambda(z_\lambda)$ are the same.

Let $p^{-1}(g) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$. One can readily verify that

$$(\pi_\beta \circ p)(y_n) = (\pi_\beta \circ p)(z_\beta) \quad \text{for each} \quad y_n \in p^{-1}(g), \quad n \in \overline{m}. \tag{13}$$

This implies that $z_\beta, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \in p^{-1}(O_s)$ for some neighborhood O_s from the cover $\{O_1, O_2, \dots, O_t\}$ of the space G constructed in the proof of Lemma (see Step 1). We fix such O_s and consider the partition (7) of the set $\overline{m}$ corresponding to this neighborhood. By the property $O2$), there is a unique point y_l in the set $p^{-1}(g)$ satisfying the equality

$$f_{a_1} \triangle f_{a_2} \triangle \dots \triangle f_{a_r}(y_l) = f_{a_1} \triangle f_{a_2} \triangle \dots \triangle f_{a_r}(z_\beta). \tag{14}$$

We claim that $\rho(y_l) = x$.

Making use of the equalities (12), (13) and the property $O2$), we obtain:

$$\widehat{f}_{a_j}(y_l) = \widehat{f}_{a_j}(z_\beta) \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \overline{r}$$

and, provided that the set $\{b_j : j \in \overline{m-r}\}$ is nonempty,

$$\widehat{f}_{b_j}(y_l) = \widehat{f}_{b_j}(z_\beta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \overline{m-r}.$$

As an immediate consequence of the above observations, we get:

$$\widehat{f}_1 \triangle \widehat{f}_2 \triangle \dots \triangle \widehat{f}_m(y_l) = \widehat{f}_1 \triangle \widehat{f}_2 \triangle \dots \triangle \widehat{f}_m(z_\beta). \tag{15}$$

One can easily see that

$$\pi_\lambda \circ p(y_l) = \pi_\lambda \circ p(z_\lambda) \quad \text{for each} \quad \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta. \tag{16}$$

Finally, combining (12),(15) and (14), we get $\rho(y_l) = x$, as claimed.

Thus ρ is a bijection, so that, being continuous and defined on a compact space, it is a homeomorphism.

We summarize the results of this section in the following proposition.

Proposition. *The covering mapping $p : X \to G$ is up to isomorphism the limit morphism induced by the morpism*

$$\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta\} : \{X_\lambda, h^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda_\beta\} \longrightarrow \{G_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda_\beta\}$$

of inverse systems in the category $\mathcal{COMP}$, where p_λ is a k-fold covering mapping for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$.

3. Covering group theorem

In this section we shall show that the structure of topological group on G lifts to the covering space X. We begin by recalling the covering group theorem for groups which are connected and locally path connected (see, e.g., [4, Theorem 79], [5, Chapter V, Exercises 5.2, 5.4]).

Theorem. *Let* $\omega : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ *be a covering mapping from a path connected space* $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ *onto a connected locally path connected topological group* Γ *with identity* e. *Then for any point* $\widetilde{e} \in \omega^{-1}(e)$ *there exists a unique structure of topological group on* $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ *such that* $\widetilde{e}$ *is the identity and* $\omega : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ *is a homomorphism of topological groups. Furthermore, if* Γ *is abelian, then* ω *is a homomorphism of abelian groups.*

Note that the covering mapping $\omega : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ is not assumed to be finite-sheeted, and the topological group Γ is not assumed to be compact in the hypothesis of Theorem.

Using Proposition from the previous section and this Theorem, we have:

Theorem 1. *Let* $p : X \to G$ *be a finite-sheeted covering mapping from a connected space* X *onto a compact topological group* G *with identity* e. *Then for any point* $\widetilde{e} \in p^{-1}(e)$ *there exists a unique structure of topological group on* X *such that* $\widetilde{e}$ *is the identity and* $p : X \to G$ *is a homomorphism of compact groups. Furthermore, if* G *is abelian, then* p *is a homomorphism of abelian groups.*

Proof. Let $\{ p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta \}$ be the morphism of inverse systems in the category $\mathcal{COMP}$ constructed in the previous section. We now turn it into a morphism of inverse systems in the category $\mathcal{CGR}$.

Choose a point $\widetilde{e} \in p^{-1}(e)$. Let $\widetilde{e}_\lambda := h_\lambda(\widetilde{e})$ for each index $\lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$. It follows from commutativity of the diagram (4) that $\widetilde{e}_\lambda \in p_\lambda^{-1}(e_\lambda)$, where e_λ is the identity of the group G_λ.

Applying Theorem to the covering mappings $p_\lambda : X_\lambda \to G_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta$, we endow each space X_λ with the structure of topological group such that $\widetilde{e}_\lambda$ is the identity and the k-fold covering mapping p_λ is a homomorphism of groups.

We need to show that all mappings $h_\lambda^\mu : X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ become homomorphisms of groups. For this purpose, we fix a mapping h_λ^μ and consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X_\lambda \arrow[d, "p_\lambda"] \\
X_\mu \times X_\mu \arrow[r, "F"] & G_\lambda
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the mapping F is defined by setting $F(x,y) = p_\lambda \circ h_\lambda^\mu(xy)$. Denote by F_1 and F_2 the mappings from the Cartesian product $X_\mu \times X_\mu$ to the space X_λ given by

$$F_1(x,y) = h_\lambda^\mu(xy) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(x,y) = h_\lambda^\mu(x) h_\lambda^\mu(y), \quad x, y \in X_\mu.$$

Both these mappings are liftings of the mapping F to X_λ. That is, making use of F_1 or F_2, the above diagram can be completed to a commutative one: $p_\lambda \circ F_1 = F$ and $p_\lambda \circ F_2 = F$. Indeed, since the diagram (10) is commutative and the mappings p_λ, p_μ and π_λ^μ are homomorphisms of groups, we have:

$$p_\lambda \circ h_\lambda^\mu(xy) = p_\lambda(h_\lambda^\mu(x) h_\lambda^\mu(y)) \quad \text{for any} \quad x, y \in X_\mu.$$

In addition, $F_1(\widetilde{e}_\mu, \widetilde{e}_\mu) = F_2(\widetilde{e}_\mu, \widetilde{e}_\mu)$, where $\widetilde{e}_\mu$ is the identity of the group X_μ. Because the space $X_\mu \times X_\mu$ is connected, it follows from the uniqueness property for liftings to covering spaces [18; Chapter 2, §2, Theorem 2] that $F_1 = F_2$. This means that $h^\mu_\lambda : X_\mu \to X_\lambda$ is a homomorphism of groups.

Thus the family

$$\{\, p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_\beta \,\} : \{\, X_\lambda, h^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda_\beta \,\} \to \{\, G_\lambda, \pi^\mu_\lambda, \Lambda_\beta \,\}$$

becomes a morphism of inverse systems in the category $\mathcal{CGR}$. Therefore $p_\infty : X_\infty \to G_\infty$ is a homomorphism of compact groups. If the group G is abelian, then each k-fold covering mapping $p_\lambda : X_\lambda \to G_\lambda$ is a homomorphism between abelian groups, so that $p_\infty : X_\infty \to G_\infty$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups as well.

Finally, it remains to note that now in the diagram (11) the mappings σ and p_∞ are homomorphisms of compact groups. Using this diagram, one can easily equip the space X with the desired structure of the topological group whose identity is the point $\widetilde{e}$. The uniqueness of such structure follows from the uniqueness property for liftings to covering spaces. □

Note that Theorem 1 was proved in [19] for a finite-sheeted covering mapping onto a solenoidal group by a different method.

The following examples show that, in general, the covering group theorem fails for a finite-sheeted covering mapping with a non-connected covering space. As usual, in all examples the symbol $\mathbb{S}^1$ stands for the unit circle.

Example 1. Let $X_k = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{k\}$, where $k = 1, 2$. Denote by $p_k : X_k \to \mathbb{S}^1$ the k-fold covering mapping sending (z, k) to z^k, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Consider the combination $p_1 \triangledown p_2$ of the mappings p_1 and p_2 which is defined as follows:

$$p_1 \triangledown p_2 : X_1 \oplus X_2 \to \mathbb{S}^1 : x \mapsto p_k(x) \quad \text{if} \quad x \in X_k.$$

Here, and in what follows, the symbol $\oplus$ stands for the sum of topological spaces. We denote the space $X_1 \oplus X_2$ and the mapping $p_1 \triangledown p_2$ by Y and p respectively. Clearly, the mapping $p : Y \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is the three-fold covering mapping.

We claim that the structure of topological group on $\mathbb{S}^1$ does not lift to the covering space Y. To obtain a contradiction, we suppose that there exists a structure of topological group on Y turning p into a homomorphism of groups. Let us assume that the identity of the group Y belongs to X_2. One can easily see that for each $y \in X_1$ the equality $X_1 = yX_2$ holds. This implies that the restrictions $p|_{X_1} = p_1$ and $p|_{X_2} = p_2$ have the same degree. The case when the identity of Y lies in X_1 is similar. This contradiction proves our claim.

Using the covering mapping $p : Y \to \mathbb{S}^1$ from Example 1, we shall construct a finite-sheeted covering mapping onto a non-connected compact group.

Example 2. For $j = 0, 1$ we let $Y_j = Y \times \{j\}$. Denote by q_0 and q_1 the mappings defined by the formulae:

$$q_j : Y_j \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{j\} : (y, j) \mapsto (p(y), j), \quad y \in Y, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

The sum $q_0 \oplus q_1 : Y_0 \bigoplus Y_1 \to \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ of the mappings q_0 and q_1 which is given by

$$q_0 \oplus q_1(y) = q_j(y) \quad \text{if} \quad y \in Y_j, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

is the required covering mapping. Here $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0,1\}$ is the group of order two equipped with the discrete topology, and $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the direct product of groups.

Remark. We refer the reader to [20] and [21] for conditions for the lifting of topological group structure on a non-connected topological group to a covering space.

Theorem 1 suggests the following question.

Question 1. *Can one take the group G to be locally compact in Theorem 1?*

In connection with the above theorems the following interesting conjecture was stated by Professor S. A. Bogatyi.

Conjecture. *The covering group theorem holds for an overlay mapping from a connected space onto a topological group.*

4. Covering mappings onto abelian groups

In this section G is a compact connected abelian group, and $\widehat{G}$ is its additive character group. We refer to the appropriate sections of [4] and [22] for the fundamental facts about the character group and Pontryagin duality. If $\pi : H_1 \to H_2$ is a homomorphism between two compact abelian topological groups, then $\widehat{\pi} : \widehat{H_2} \to \widehat{H_1}$ denotes the dual homomorphism between the character groups, i.e, $\widehat{\pi}(\chi) = \chi \circ \pi$ for $\chi \in \widehat{H_2}$.

For given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one has the homomorphism

$$\tau_k : \widehat{G} \to \widehat{G} : \chi \mapsto k\chi.$$

If τ_k is surjective, then the group $\widehat{G}$ is said to be *k-divisible*, or we say that the group $\widehat{G}$ *admits division by k*. Note that if $\widehat{G}$ is k-divisible, then the homomorphism τ_k is an automorphism since $\widehat{G}$ is a torsion free abelian group ([22; Theorem 24.25]). Necessary and sufficient conditions for $\widehat{G}$ to be k-divisible are given in [23]. In particular, it is shown [23; Theorem 1.1] that the character group $\widehat{G}$ is k-divisible if and only if the group G admits a k-mean. There is a large literature concerning the problem on the existence of means on topological spaces (see, e.g., [23] – [28] where additional references are contained). Recall that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a *k-mean* on G is a mapping $\mu : G \times G \times \ldots \times G \to G$ from the Cartesian product of k copies of G such that $\mu(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k) = \mu(g_{\pi(1)}, g_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, g_{\pi(k)})$ for any permutation π of the set $\overline{k}$ and $\mu(g, g, \ldots, g) = g$ for all $g \in G$.

As a corollary of Theorem 1, we obtain the following theorem concerning finite-sheeted covering mappings onto abelian groups.

Theorem 2. *Let $p : X \to G$ be a finite-sheeted covering mapping from a connected topological space X onto a compact connected abelian group G such that the character group $\widehat{G}$ admits division by the degree of p. Then p is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. According to Theorem 1, we can consider the mapping p as a homomorphism between compact connected abelian groups. Let e and $\widetilde{e}$ be identities of the groups G and X respectively. Denote by $\tau : X \to X/p^{-1}(e)$ the canonical homomorphism onto the factor group $X/p^{-1}(e)$ and by $\sigma : X/p^{-1}(e) \to G$ the isomorphism of topological groups such that $p = \sigma \circ \tau$.

We claim that τ is an isomorphism of topological groups. In order to prove this assertion we shall show that $p^{-1}(e) = \{\widetilde{e}\}$.

To obtain a contradiction we suppose that $p^{-1}(e) \neq \{\widetilde{e}\}$. Obviously, in this case, there exists a character $\chi \in \widehat{X}$ such that the restriction of χ to the subgroup $p^{-1}(e)$ is not the identity character.

Denote by k the degree of p. Since $p^{-1}(e)$ is a finite group of order k, the restriction of the character $k\chi$ to $p^{-1}(e)$ is the identity character. As a consequence [22, Theorem 23.25], there is a character $\theta \in \widehat{X/p^{-1}(e)}$ such that

$$\widehat{\tau}(\theta) = k\chi.$$

The group $\widehat{X/p^{-1}(e)}$ is k-divisible. Hence there is a character $\eta \in \widehat{X/p^{-1}(e)}$ satisfying the equality

$$k\eta = \theta,$$

which implies that

$$k\widehat{\tau}(\eta) = k\chi.$$

But $\widehat{X}$ is a torsion free group [22, Theorem 24.25], so that

$$\widehat{\tau}(\eta) = \chi.$$

It follows that the restriction of χ to the subgroup $p^{-1}(e)$ is the identity character. This contradiction yields the desired equality $p^{-1}(e) = \{\widetilde{e}\}$.

Thus τ and p are isomorphisms of topological groups, as required. □

As immediate consequences of Theorem 2 and Theorem 1.1 from [23] we have the following statements.

Corollary 1. *Let* $k \geq 2$. *If the character group* $\widehat{G}$ *is* k*-divisible, then there exists no a* k*-fold covering mapping from a connected topological space onto* G.

Corollary 2. *Let* $k \geq 2$. *If there is a* k*-fold covering mapping from a connected topological space onto* G, *then* G *does not admit a* k*-mean.*

Example 3. Let $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$ be a sequence of prime numbers (1 not being included as a prime) and let Σ_P be the *P-adic solenoid*, that is, the inverse limit of the inverse sequence (= the inverse system over $\mathbb{N}$)

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \xleftarrow{\pi_1^2} \mathbb{S}^1 \xleftarrow{\pi_2^3} \mathbb{S}^1 \xleftarrow{\pi_3^4} \cdots,$$

where the bonding mappings are given by $\pi_n^{n+1}(z) = z^{p_n}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As is well known, the P-adic solenoid is a compact connected abelian group.

The character group of Σ_P is isomorphic to the discrete additive group of all rationals of the form $\frac{m}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and m is an integer [22; (25.3)].

Assume that k is a positive integer such that each its prime divisor is equal to infinitely many terms of the sequence P. By number-theoretic considerations one can easily see that the group $\widehat{\Sigma_P}$ is k-divisible. According to Theorem 1, the P-adic solenoid Σ_P does not admit k-fold covering mapping from a connected topological space onto itself.

If for each prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $p = p_n$ for infinitely many indices n, then the group $\widehat{\Sigma_P}$ is k-divisible for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case the P-adic solenoid Σ_P admits only trivial finite-sheeted covering mappings from topological spaces onto itself.

Remark. One can construct (see [6, Example 2], [8, Proposition 2.2]) an example of solenoid Σ_P which does not admit a k-mean and such that there is no a k-fold covering mapping from a connected space onto Σ_P.

Using Theorem 2, we obtain the following criterion of triviality of finite-sheeted covering mappings onto compact connected abelian groups.

Theorem 3. *All finite-sheeted covering mappings of degree* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *onto a compact connected abelian group* G *are trivial if and only if the character group* $\widehat{G}$ *is* $k!$*-divisible.*

Proof. As was mentioned in Introduction, we need only to prove the sufficiency.

Suppose that the group $\widehat{G}$ is $k!$-divisible. Let $p : Y \to G$ be a k-fold covering mapping, where Y is a non-connected topological space. Choose a component Y_0 of the space Y and consider a finite-sheeted covering mapping $p|_{Y_0} : Y_0 \to G$, i.e., the restriction of p to Y_0. Obviously, the group $\widehat{G}$ admits division by degree of the covering mapping $p|_{Y_0}$. Applying Theorem 2 to $p|_{Y_0}$, we conclude that the mapping $p|_{Y_0}$ is a homeomorphism. Now one can easily show that p is a trivial k-fold covering mapping. $\square$

Remark. As an application of Theorem 1, it can be shown [29] that each finite-sheeted covering mapping from (in general, non-connected) topological space onto G is equivalent to a covering mapping which is generated by a separable polynomial over the Banach algebra $C(G)$. This fact together with the result by E. A. Gorin and V. Ya. Lin mentioned in Introduction yields another proof of Theorem 3.

In connection with Theorem 3, an interesting question is the following.

Question 2. *Is it true that all* k*-fold covering mappings onto a compact connected topological space* X *are trivial provided that the first Čech cohomology group of* X *with integer coefficients* $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ *is* $k!$*-divisible?*

Finally, combining Theorem 3 with Theorem 1.1 from [23], we have:

Theorem 4. *All finite-sheeted covering mappings of degree* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *onto a compact connected abelian group* G *are trivial if and only if* G *admits a* $k!$*-mean.*

References

1. Gorin E. A. and Lin V. Ya., *Algebraic equations with continuous coefficients and some problems of the algebraic theory of braids*, Matem. Sbornik. 78 (120) (1969), 579–610 (Russian).
2. Gorin E. A. and Lin V. Ya., *On separable polynomials over commutative Banach algebras*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR. 218 (1974), 505–508 (Russian).
3. Zyuzin Yu. V., *Irreducible separable polynomials with holomorphic coefficients on a certain class of complex spaces*, Matem. Sbornik. 102 (144) (1977), 569–591 (Russian).
4. Pontryagin L. S., *Continuous Groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1984 (Russian).
5. Massey W. S., *Algebraic topology: An Introduction*, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, New York, 1967.
6. Fox R.H., *On shape*, Fund. Math. 74 (1972), 47 – 71.
7. Fox R.H., *Shape theory and covering spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 375, 71–90, Topology Conference Virginia Polytechnic Institute, 1973 (R.F. Dickman Jr., P.Fletcher, eds.), Springer, Berlin — Heidelberg — New York, 1974.

8. Moore T.T., *On Fox's theory of overlays*, Fund. Math. 99 (1978), 205 – 211.
9. Koçak Ş., *On the fundamental theorem of overlays*, Note Mat. 10 (1990), 355 – 362.
10. Mrozik P., *Images and exactness in the category of pro-groups and the lifting problem for covering projections*, Glasnik Mat. 28 (1993), 209 – 226.
11. Mardešić S. and Matijević V., *Classifying overlay structures of topological spaces*, Topology Appl. 113 (2001), 167 – 209.
12. Grigorian S. A. and Gumerov R. N., *A criterion of triviality for finite-sheeted coverings of compact connected abelian groups*, Trudy Lobachevskii Matem. Centre, V. 5, 238–240, Proceedings of International Conference, Unipress, Kazan, 2000.
13. Grigorian S.A. and Gumerov R.N., *On a covering group theorem and its applications*, Lobachevskii J. Math. X (2002), 9–16.
14. Eilenberg S. and Steenrod N., *Foundations of Algebraic topology*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1952.
15. Engelking R., *General topology*, Monografie Matematyczne, Vol. 60, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1977.
16. Bucur I. and Deleanu A., with the collaboration of Hilton P.J., *Introduction to the Theory of Categories and Functors.*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XIX, Wiley - Interscience Publ., London - New York - Sydney, 1968.
17. Weil A., *L'Integration dans les Groupes Topologiques et ses Applications.*, Publ. L'Institut Math. de Clermont-Ferrand, Paris, 1940.
18. Spanier E. H. *Algebraic Topology.* McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
19. Grigorian S.A., Gumerov R.N. and Kazantsev A.V., *Group structure in finite coverings of compact solenoidal groups*, Lobachevskii J. Math. VI (2000), 39–46.
20. Taylor R. L., *Covering groups of non-connected topological groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 753–768.
21. Brown R. and Mucuk O., *Covering groups of non-connected topological groups revisited*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 115 (1994), 97–110.
22. Hewitt E. and Ross K. A., *Abstract harmonic analysis, Vol. I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
23. Keesling J., *The group of homeomorphisms of a solenoid*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 172 (1972), 119–131.
24. Eckmann B., *Räume mit Mittelbildungen*, Comment. Math. Helv. 28 (1954), 329–340.
25. Bacon P., *Unicoherence in means*, Colloq. Math. 21 (1970), 211–215.
26. Charatonik J. J., *Some problems concerning means on topological spaces*, Topology, Measures and Fractals (C.Bandt, J. Flachsmayer and H. Haase, eds.), Mathematical Research, vol. 66, 166–177, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
27. Kawamura K. and Tymchatyn E. D., *Continua which admit no mean*, Colloq. Math. 71 (1996), 97–105.
28. Illanes A. and Nadler Jr., S. B., *Hyperspaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1999.
29. Grigorian S.A. and Gumerov R.N., *On polynomials with continuous coefficients and finite coverings of compact connected abelian groups* (manuscript).

Institute of Mechanics and Mathematics, Kazan State University, Kremlevskaya 17, Kazan, 420008, Tatarstan, Russian Federation

E-mail address: `suren.grigorian@ksu.ru, renat.gumerov@ksu.ru`